

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/656,209	FISCHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hong C. Kim	2185	

All Participants:

(1) Hong C. Kim.

(2) Linda Thayer, (Reg. No. 4545,681).

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 31 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested to amend claims 31 and 32 to avoid possible rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicants agree to amend claims 31 and 32, so as to place the application in condition .